



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/966,493	09/28/2001	Joseph Luber	MCP-0274 5286	
27777 7	590 10/02/2003	•	EXAMINER	
AUDLEY A. CIAMPORCERO JR. JOHNSON & JOHNSON			JOYNES, ROBERT M	
	OHNSON ON & JOHNSON PLAZA		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ 0893	WICK, NJ 08933-7003		1615	
		1	DATE MAILED: 10/02/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.					
great the second	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/966,493	LUBER ET AL.				
	Examin r	Art Unit				
The MAIL INC DATE of the communication and	Robert M. Joynes	1615				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 15 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>15 September 2003</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☑ The a) ☑ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		to issues which we	re newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
D. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the Declaration is not commensurate in scope with the instant claims. The Declaration shows four formulations with different waxes in each formulation. It is unclear what the melting points of the waxes are from the Declaration or at what temperature the dissolution experiemtns were run. In addition, the melting point information is essential because the results of the experiments performed show that the different waxes behave in different ways when heated, for example, the carnauba wax behaves very differently from the other three waxes when heated. Further, the instant claims are drawn to immediate release compositions but the results of the dissolution tests recited in the Declaration show dissolution profiles for 15 or 30 MINUTES. The Examiner fails to see how these results show an immediate release formulation. For the reasons stated herein, the Declaration and Response are found unpersuasive because the Declaration is not commensurate in scope with the instant claims.

THURMAN K. PAGE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY-BENTER 1600